

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE  
I-710 CORRIDOR PROJECT EIR/EIS  
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**Via Zoom**

**FEBRUARY 15, 2023**

**I. Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order at 1:40 PM

**Roll Call**

#	Name	City/Agency	Present	Absent
1.	Bill Pagett	City of Bell	X	
2.	Grissel Chavez	City of Bell Gardens	X	
3.	Richard Garland	City of Carson		X
4.	Mohammed Mostahkami	City of Commerce	X	
5.	Vacant	City of Compton		X
6.	Aaron Hernandez Torres	City of Cudahy		X
7.	Ed Norris	City of Downey	X	
8.	Cesar Roldan	City of Huntington Park	X	
9.	Mouhsen Habib	City of Long Beach		X
10.	Julian Lee	City of Lynwood		X
11.	Mohammed Mostahkami	City of Maywood	X	
12.	Bill Pagett	City Paramount	X	
13.	Bill Zimmerman	City of Signal Hill	X	
14.	Jose Loera	City of South Gate	X	
15.	Lizette Melendez	City of Vernon		X
16.	Mahdad Derakhshani	County of Los Angeles	X	
17.	Jason Roach	CalTrans	X	
18.	Jacob Waclaw	FHWA & FTA		X
19.	Ernesto Chaves	MTA	X	
20.	Theresa Dau Ngo	Port of Long Beach	X	
21.	Kerry Cartwright	Port of Los Angeles		X
<b>Total =</b>			<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>

Other attendees included: Yvette Kirrin, Traci Gleason, Ana Pantoja, Kekoa Anderson – GCCOG staff; Ricardo Corona, Julio Perucho, Lourdes Kriste, Lucy Delgadillo – Metro; Luke Klipp, Office of Supervisor Hahn; Nina Turner, Port of Long Beach; Nicolas Vasquez, Moffat & Nichol; Wayne Richardson – HDR; Shannon Willits – Caltrans; Maggie Cheung – LA County PW; Bill Stracker – JM Diaz; James Shankel – Caltrans; Bill Zimmerman – Signal Hill; Julie Rush – AECOM; Juan Munoz Guevara – City of Lynwood; Cynthia Guerrero – Infenger;

Michael Grossman – DRCD Inc.; Tewodros Mekuria – HNTB.

**II. Amendments to the Agenda**

There were no amendments to the agenda.

**III. Public Comments**

There were no public comments.

**IV. Matters from Staff**

There were no matters from staff.

**V. Consent Calendar**

A motion by Ed Norris, Downey, seconded by Mohammad Mostahkami, Commerce to approve the meeting minutes of October 19, 2022 of the 710 TAC, with minor corrections. Roll call was taken and the motion was approved unanimously with no objection, nor abstention.

**VI. Reports**

**Item A: 710 Metro Task Force - Update**

Metro Task Force and Subcommittee Updates – Last month we had the draft initial project list with the input from the Cities via the TAC process. Metro worked with the committees to be sure that they understood any changes that had been brought into the list via red-lines, which mostly were relabeling and clarifications of the scope. No projects were deleted, and a few were added that were missed, including 2 from Commerce and 2 from Bell, which were due to description issues and he confirmed that they are on the list going forward. This past Monday at the Task Force meeting, the changes were reviewed, and provided a consent to moving forward into the evaluation. There was no adoption or approval of the list. Ernesto shared a slide that was shared with the Task Force that illustrates how the initial list moved through a process, and now the evaluation phase will start, which the key issue is the actual criteria and performance measures. The time frame will be the months of March and April and this framework will help evaluate the projects and eventually categorize and prioritize projects. The evaluation phase will go into the summer as it will take time to do the evaluation.

Mohammad had an opportunity to participate on Monday night and he noted that the meeting invites need to be better shared, in order to be sure that we find the support needed.

It was also noted that there were many other projects on the list, which were brought forward from Metro, elected officials, the social pinpoint public input. The concern of if there is a conflict between priorities from Metro vs. a City priority, becomes low priority, this project won't prohibit projects from happening.

Bill Pagett also noted that he felt the Task Force meeting was well run. He noted that a quorum could be troublesome. He voices concern about the distribution of the Task Force being not well represented from a jurisdiction basis, like our elected officials, vs. many environmental people.

A motion by Mohammad Mostahkami (Commerce), seconded by Bill Zimmerman (Signal Hill) to receive and file the report. Without objection and/or abstentions, the motion was approved.

**Item B: I-710 Measure R Funds Update (Freeway & Non-Freeway)**

Mr. Ricardo Corona, of Metro, presented the Measure R funding report. He noted that April will be the last month for TAC to approve TAC requests to incorporate into the Metro Bi-Annual programming update. He also noted that the new total non \$109.932 million. cum, remaining is \$50.68 million. The freeway funding spent to date is \$99.6 million, leaving \$197 million remaining. Metro doesn't expect any new projects to be added to projects in the pipeline, until the Investment Plan is complete.

A motion by Mohammad Mostahkami (Commerce), seconded by Bill Zimmerman (Signal Hill) to receive and file the report. Without objection and/or abstentions, the motion was approved.

**Item F: COG Engineer's Report – Oral Report by Yvette Kirrin and/or Kekoa Anderson**

No Report.

**VIII. Matters from the I-710 Technical Advisory Committee**

Mohammad wants to know how to take advantage of the SS4All Grant. Ms. Kirrin responded that this was going to be an update in her GCCOG report.

**IX. Matters from the Chair**

Bill asked about a program regarding the transfer of STPL via Metro for a 3% fee, and the status of doing that. He's heard that Metro has been saying no and that the transfer funds have been depleted. Ernesto noted that he'll check into it.

**X. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 2:17 PM