

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE
I-710 CORRIDOR PROJECT EIR/EIS
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**Via Zoom
August 17, 2022**

I. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 1:37 PM

Roll Call

#	Name	City/Agency	Present	Absent
1.	Rey Alfonso	City of Bell	X	
2.	Grissel Chavez	City of Bell Gardens	X	
3.	Richard Garland	City of Carson	X	
4.	Mohammed Mostahkami	City of Commerce		X
5.	Daniel Garcia	City of Compton		X
6.	Aaron Hernandez Torres	City of Cudahy		X
7.	Ed Norris	City of Downey	X	
8.	Cesar Roldan	City of Huntington Park	X	
9.	Carl Hickman	City of Long Beach	X	
10.	Julian Lee	City of Lynwood	X	
11.	Ahmad Ansari	City of Maywood	X	
12.	Rafael Casilla	City Paramount	X	
13.	Bill Zimmerman	City of Signal Hill	X	
14.	Jose Loera	City of South Gate		X
15.	Dan Wall	City of Vernon		X
16.	Mahdad Derakhshani	County of Los Angeles	X	
17.	Nancy Pe	CalTrans		X
18.	Jacob Waclaw	FHWA & FTA		X
19.	Ernesto Chaves	MTA	X	
20.	Theresa Dau Ngo	Port of Long Beach	X	
21.	Kerry Cartwright	Port of Los Angeles		X
Total =			13	8

Other attendees included: Yvette Kirrin, Traci Gleason, Ana Pantoja, Nancy Pfeffer, GCCOG staff; Shannon Willits, Tewordos Mekuria, HNTB Corp; Ricardo Corona, Lourdes Kristie Lucy Delgadillo, Julio Perucho, Mark Dierking, Metro; Diego Cadena, Wayne Richardson. Michael Hynes, HDR/WKE; Bill Stracker, JM Diaz, Inc.; Maggie Cheung, LA County; James Fowler, Michel Grossman, DR Consultants; Luke Klipp, Viviana Gomez, Sup. Hahn's Office; Nina Turner, Sara Bauman, Port of Long Beach; Andy Nowak, Nicolas Velazquez, Stephanie Olick, Moffat & Nichol; Mouhsen Habib, City of Long Beach; Michelle Cordi, Caltrans; Thomas Bekele, City of Signal Hill; Marisela Santana, City of Lynwood; Patrick Somerville, Mark Thomas; Joe Linton, Street Blogs; Unidentified number (949) 887-0731

II. Amendments to the Agenda

There were no amendments to the agenda.

III. Public Comments

There were no public comments.

IV. Matters from Staff

There were no matters from staff.

V. Consent Calendar

A motion by Ed Norris, Downey, seconded by Grissel Chavez, Bell Gardens to approve the meeting minutes of July 20, 2022 of the 710 TAC. Roll call was taken and the motion was approved unanimously with no abstentions.

VI. Reports

Item A: I-710 TAC Work Program Exercise Based on GCCOG Ad-Hoc Final Report – Presentation by Yvette Kirrin

Ms .Yvette Kirrin presented a PowerPoint on the the proposed 710 TAC work program exercise that had commenced based on the GCCOG Ad Hoc final report. She noted that the final report was included in last month's agenda package and included a series of programs and projects that are outlined in the final report. The 710 TAC work program is a strategy to help close the gap between us and the ongoing activity with the 710 Metro task force, and is a subset of the great work that the GCCOG 710 Ad Hoc committee completed in May.

She reaffirmed the strategy for the 710TAC to develop a short, medium and long-term work program of projects that are categorized according to the final report nomenclature, with a goal of gaining support at the 710 TAC level and more importantly from jurisdictional leadership, including the elected officials and the GCCOG Board. She noted that the goal was to assist GCCOG leadership, including our Metro Board members, in advocating for our work plan to be earmarked for funding in the Metro Task Force Investment Plan. Ms. Kirrin reiterated from last month's 710 TAC that there are a lot of competing interests with regard to the Metro Task Force and that she felt this was our best strategy for the 710 TAC, to not only remain relevant in the process, but to provide our elected officials with a supported list of projects to be inserted into the Investment Plan.

She noted that the work plan started last month, and now that it's progressed that the 710 TAC should consider applying a few parameters that brings credibility to the plan as well as equity.

The definition of providing a "credible plan" was stated in comparison to the 710 TAC using a specific process to recommended and implement projects within the Measure R criteria/guidelines. By continuing to utilize this process, via this exercise, our work program will likely still over-subscribe to the total funds available, based on need alone.

The equity parameter is via application of fairness to all our participating jurisdictions, in that all jurisdictions are meant to be eligible for a fair share based on the projects proposed.

Lastly, for those that haven't participated in this process, it is the staff recommendation that we add the complete streets segments that traverse their jurisdiction, so that jurisdictions do have access to an equitable share with a work plan to advocate at the City Management and Leadership levels.

In summary, it's my recommendation that we:

1. Utilize to Measure R guidelines in narrowing our short, medium and long-term work plan.
2. Apply an equity parameter regarding funding.
3. Assist those jurisdictions that haven't been able to participate by including the complete street portions that apply into their work plan.

It was proposed that following the application of these parameters, we will want to start having meetings with your City Management and Elected Officials to get local endorsement of the work plan.

The goal was reiterated once more that all our 710 member agencies will then be able to actively (individually and as a sum total) advocate for our work plan being incorporated and earmarked for funding in the Metro Task Force implementation plan.

Significant discussion took place following the presentation. Mohammad Mostahkami agreed with the strategy laid out. Luke Klipp thanked the 710 TAC for their approach promulgated by staff, and acknowledged even with the new vision and principles that it seemed that we were in alignment with the Metro Task Force. Ernesto Chaves noted that he was interested in how this work plan will make its way back to the Metro Task Force, which it was clarified by Ms. Kirrin that it will likely come back through the GCCOG Ad-Hoc as a recommendation, as an input into the Investment Plan. Carl Hickman noted that he agreed that using the Measure R guidelines, specifically regarding safety, mobility and operations was consistent with

how projects should be generated. Lastly, Nancy Pfeffer noted how this is a very important advocacy plan and she'd like to see the projects matched with the programs/projects included in the Ad-Hoc final report.

Lastly, it was unclear to the group how the projects could be constrained at this time due to the need by each jurisdiction. Based on that comment from Mohammad, it was agreed that currently no application of maximum dollars would be applied, and that the discussion could continue once the list was developed and the group could better understand the magnitude of it.

A motion was made by Bill Zimmerman, Signal Hill, and seconded by Mohammed Mostahkami, Commerce, to continue with the 710 TAC Advocacy Work Plan using the status quo 710 Measure R funding guidelines.

Item B: Cog Engineer's Report – Oral Report by Yvette Kirrin and/or Kekoa Anderson

Ms. Kirrin noted that she was inventorying the agencies that had LRSP's in place to help determine what kind of need might be helpful if the GCCOG is partnering with Metro to apply for federal funding to either create LRSP's and/or generate implementation grants. She noted that she had been sending out emails and that she'd like everyone to be responsive.

VIII. Matters from the I-710 Technical Advisory Committee

No further matters.

IX. Matters from the Chair

No Matters.

X. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 2:53 PM.