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The Objectives

• Bottom-up process to develop regional GHG emission reduction targets for recommendation to ARB by June 2010
• Lay the foundation and move forward the integrated planning efforts & process to develop the 2012 RTP/SCS
The SCAG Goals

• Collaborate closely with subregions/local jurisdictions to develop the components needed for a subregional or regional SCS
• Encourage and support subregions working with CTCs and local jurisdictions to develop subregional SCS
• Institutional arrangement to facilitate collaboration and accomplish the tasks
• Build teams to work with city
Steps Toward Consensus

- Update growth projections based on most recent socioeconomic data and current state of economy
- Subregion/local review, comments & input for developing general plan based growth forecast and land use scenario (July – October 2009)
- Conduct workshops & meet individual cities to develop additional strategies, including land use, transportation investments, TDM/TSM, pricing and others to reduce GHG emissions (Sep 2009 to April 2010)
- Perform modeling and associated analysis to estimate GHG emission reduction targets for submission to ARB (May 2010 to June 2010)
- Continue to work with subregions/local jurisdictions, County Transportation Commissions (CTCs), and other stakeholders to develop draft SCS and other RTP components, measures, and policies (June 2010 – November 2011)
1. 2008 Base Year—What is on the ground
2. General plan based growth forecasts & land use scenario (July 2009 to Oct 2009)
3. Trend Baseline: Absence of recent general plan land use policies
4. Preliminary local and regional policies intended to reduce GHG emissions: Bottom-up process (Sep 2009 to April 2010)
   ✓ Sustainable development land use patterns (Above & beyond General Plans)
   ✓ Transportation investment
   ✓ TDMs, including pricing
   ✓ TSMs
   ✓ Economic development
   ✓ Others
Disclaimer:

- The numbers/maps and data provided were not endorsed or adopted by the CEHD
- All numbers/maps and data provided are for the purpose of collecting input and comments from subregions and local jurisdictions
- This is the start of discussion to address the requirements of SB 375 and its implementation in developing SCS
Information Provided for Comments, Review & Input

- 2008 base year data (population, household & employment at all geographic levels, employment and housing units at 5.5 acre grid cell level), and 2008 existing land use
- General plan, zoning map and general plan based growth forecast & distribution in 2020 & 2035
List of Other SB375 Related Data/GIS for Local Review & Comments (Provided Later)

- Transit priority project areas
- Spheres of Influence
- Open space and park
- Farmland
- Endangered species and plants
- Flood areas
- Natural habitat
Incorporate your input, comments to produce:

- 2008 base year general uses, residential density and building intensity data
- General plan based growth forecast/distribution in 2020 & 2035 at 5.5 acre grid cell level with information of land uses, residential density and building intensity
• RTP shall be an internally consistent document and shall include: (Government Code Section 65080 (b))
  (1) A policy element
  (2) A Sustainable Communities Strategy--SCS
  (3) An Action Element, and
  (4) A Financial Element
• Develop Alternative Planning Strategy (APS) if necessary
• Integration with RHNA
• SCS Implementation—Transit Priority Project Areas
Components of SCS

Utilize the most recent planning assumptions, local general plans & other factors. The SCS shall:

(i) identify general location of uses, residential densities & building intensities within the region;

(ii) identify areas within the region sufficient to house all projected population growth;

(iii) identify areas within the region sufficient to house an eight-year projection of regional housing need for the region;

(iv) identify a transportation network to service the transportation needs of the region;
(v) gather & consider the best practically available scientific information regarding resource areas & farmland in the region;

(vi) consider the state housing goals;

(vii) set forth a forecasted development pattern; when integrated with transportation network, & other transportation measures & policies, will reduce the GHG emissions; and

(viii) allow the RTP to comply with Sec 176 of the CAA (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7506)-Conformity Rules.
Other Requirements

- Consider the spheres of influence
- Transit priority project areas
Next Steps

• Schedule meetings with individual cities at Gateway Cities (to be determined)
• SCAG Team members to serve Gateway Cities:

  Jonathan Nadler, 213-236-1884  
  Linda Jones, 213-236-1912  
  Matt Horton, 213-236-1980  

  Frank Wen, 213-236-1854  
  Simon Choi, 213-236-1849  
  Daniel Inloes, 213-236-1919  
  Xian Zhang, 213-236-1852  
  Ping Wang, 213-236-1909
Preliminary Subregional Target Estimation Methodologies

1. Subregional Share of 2020 Regional Socio-Economic Projections
2. Subregional Share of 2008-2020 Regional Socio-Economic Growth Increments
3. Subregional Share of 2020 Projected Regional GHG Emissions with Trip-Origin-Based Method
4. Subregional Share of Regional Development Potential around Transit Stops and Corridors
5. Combination of Methods 1-4
# Summary of Preliminary Results

Methods 1 – 6 (% of Regional Target)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subregion</th>
<th>Method 1</th>
<th>Method 2</th>
<th>Method 3</th>
<th>Method 4</th>
<th>Method 5</th>
<th>Method 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arroyo Verdugo</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City LA</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVAG</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway Cities</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVAG</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVMCOG</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North LA</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCOCOG</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Bay Cities</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANBAG</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGVCOG</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCOG</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westside Cities</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRCOG</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAG Region</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>