I. Call to Order

Chairman Daniels called the meeting to order at 6:07 p.m.

II. Roll Call

Roll Call was taken by self-introductions.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: Gene Daniels, City of Paramount, Chair; Raymond Dunton, City of Bellflower; Joseph Cho, City of Cerritos; Yvonne Arceneaux, City of Compton; Tim Spohn, City of Industry; Marcel Rodarte, City of Norwalk; Bob J. Archuleta, City of Pico Rivera; Jay Sarno, City of Santa Fe Springs; Owen Newcomer, City of Whittier.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT: Tony Lima, City of Artesia; Alex Saab, City of Downey; Reynaldo Rodriguez, City of Hawaiian Gardens; Diane DuBois, City of Lakewood; Gerrie Schipske, City of Long Beach; Julie Moore, County of Los Angeles.

ALSO PRESENT: Ernesto Chaves, Metro; Steve Huff, Senior Project Manager, RBF Consulting; Danny Wu, Project Manager, Parsons Brinckerhoff; Jack Joseph, Deputy Executive Director, GCCOG; Karen Heit, GCCOG Transportation Deputy; Yvette Kirrin, GCCOG Engineer; Kekoa Anderson, GCCOG Engineer.

III. Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Member Dunton.

IV. Amendments to the Agenda

There were no amendments to the agenda.

V. Public Comments

There were no public comments.
VI. Matters from Staff

There were no matters from staff.

VII. Consent Calendar

It was moved by Member Newcomer, seconded by Member Dunton, to approve the minutes of the meeting of March 26, 2014. The motion was approved unanimously.

VIII. Reports

A. Engineering Report on Current Projects

Steve Huff of RBF Consulting gave a brief review of recent meetings with Caltrans on the SR-91/I-605 and I-5/I-605 freeway interchange project study reports.

B. I-405 (Orange County Line to LAX)—High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) to High Occupancy Toll (HOT) Conversion Feasibility Study

Ernesto Chaves, MTA, introduced Danny Wu, Project Manager for Parsons Brinckerhoff, who gave a PowerPoint presentation on the feasibility study related to the proposed conversion of high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes to high occupancy toll (HOT) lanes on I-405 or alternate routes.

Mr. Wu said high travel demand on I-405 may call for raising the minimum occupancy requirement, adding a toll, or adding a second express lane. He reviewed the steps of the study and recent activities and described the four conceptual alternatives being studied: 1) I-405 corridor single express lane; 2) I-405 corridor dual express lanes; 3) I-605 single and I-105 dual express lanes without connectors at NB I-605/WB I-105; and 4) the same as alternative 3, but with direct connectors. He said the study showed alternative 3 to have the best overall performance with moderate revenue potential. He said there is sufficient room to add a second express lane since I-105 built to full standards and portions would have tolling infrastructure.

Mr. Wu described the next steps as presenting the recommendations to the MTA Board in June 2014 and, pursuant to Board authorization, preparing a PSR-PDS for the phased implementation of Alternative 3.
C. Update on the Status of PSR-PDS Projects

Ernesto Chaves, MTA, provided a status report on the various freeway interchange studies. He said a contract had recently been awarded to WK Incorporated to produce the I-605/SR-60 interchange PSR-PDS; the SR-91/I-710 interchange is linked to the I-710 EIR/EIS project; and the I-405/I-605 interchange study is on hold until it is determined what the respective actions of MTA and OCTA are. He said he would come back in a couple of months with a report on how to move forward.

It was moved by Member Newcomer, seconded by Member Rodarte, to receive and file reports A through C. The motion was approved unanimously.

D. Gateway Cities Engineer's Report

Yvette Kirrin provided a brief update on the progress of the Gateway Cities Strategic Transportation Plan, which was now in the early stages of development.

It was moved by Member Dunton, seconded by Member Spohn, to receive and file the report. The motion was approved unanimously.

IX. Comments from Committee Members

Chairman Daniels thanked the Committee members for attending the meeting.

X. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned by consensus at 6:48 p.m.