

GATEWAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

SR-91/I-605/I-405 Technical Advisory Committee AGENDA

Tuesday, August 25, 2020 - 1:30 PM
Gateway Cities Council of Governments
MEETING REMOTE LOCATION: VIA ZOOM
Please register in advance for the webinar:

ADDRESS: https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_3_IJNyIxSKW_bkEm0-IY_Q

After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the webinar.

AGENDA REPORTS AND OTHER WRITTEN DOCUMENTS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE GATEWAY CITIES COG WEBSITE AT WWW.GATEWAYCOG.ORG.

ON MARCH 4, 2020, GOVERNOR NEWSOM PROCLAIMED A STATE OF EMERGENCY TO EXIST IN CALIFORNIA AS A RESULT OF THE THREAT OF COVID-19. THE GOVERNOR HAS ISSUED EXECUTIVE ORDERS THAT TEMPORARILY SUSPEND REQUIREMENTS OF THE BROWN ACT, INCLUDING ALLOWING PUBLIC AGENCIES TO HOLD PUBLIC MEETINGS VIA TELECONFERENCING AND TO MAKE PUBLIC MEETINGS ACCESSIBLE TELEPHONICALLY OR OTHERWISE ELECTRONICALLY TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.

PUBLIC COMMENTS: To address the 91/605/405 Technical Advisory Committee on any agenda item or a matter within the 91/605/405 Technical Advisory Committee's purview, please provide written comments by 1:30 p.m., August 25, 2020, via email to info@gatewaycog.org. All written comments submitted will become part of the official record.

- A. AGENDA ITEM: at this time the 91/605/405 Technical Advisory Committee considers the agenda item OR during Public Comments, and
- B. NON-AGENDA ITEMS: during Public Comments, comments will be received for a maximum 20-minute period; any additional requests will be heard following the completion of the 91/605/405 Technical Advisory Committee agenda; and
- C. PUBLIC HEARINGS: at the time for public hearings.

Please keep your comments brief and complete a speaker card for the Chair.

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. ROLL CALL – BY SELF INTRODUCTIONS

III. AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA - This is the time and place to change the order of the agenda, delete or add any agenda item(s).

IV. PUBLIC COMMENTS

V. MATTERS FROM STAFF

VI. CONSENT CALENDAR: All items under the Consent Calendar may be enacted by one motion. Any item may be removed from the Consent Calendar and acted upon separately by the Committee.

- A. Approval of Minutes for the Meeting of June 23, 2020, of the SR-91/I-605/405 Technical Advisory Committee

VII. REPORTS

10 Min

- A. Metro Highway Program Update on Measure R/M Funding – Report by Ernesto Chaves (Metro).

SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT,
POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

10 Min

- B. 91/I-605/405 Hot Spots Program Update – Report by Isidro Panuco & Carlos Montez (Metro)
- I-605 Corridor Improvement Project
 - WB SR-91 Improvements from Shoemaker to I-605
 - SR 91 Acacia Court to Central Ave.
 - SR-91 Atlantic to Cherry Improvement Project.
 - Local Hot Spots Updates

SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT,
POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

25 Min

- C. Measure R Funding Requests
- City of Artesia – Pioneer Blvd Traffic Improvements

SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO APPROVE FUNDING REQUESTS,
POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

10 Min

- D. Current Program Funding Update Funding – Report by Annaleigh Yahata (Metro).
- Cash Flow & Invoicing Status (Non-Freeway)
 - Cash Flow & Invoicing Status (Highway Funds)

SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT,
POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

10 Min

- E. Gateway Cities COG Engineer Report by Kekoa Anderson
- SR-91 Aesthetic Master Plan Update & Steps Forward
 - Overview of the Funding Request Process and Key Submittal Dates
 - Agency Presentations & Development of Template
 - Next 91/605/405 TAC Meeting: **October 27, 2020 at 1:30pm**

SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT,
POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

VIII. COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS

IX. ADJOURNMENT

NOTICE: New items will not be considered after 4:00 PM. unless the Committee votes to extend the time limit. Any items on the agenda that are not completed will be forwarded to the next scheduled meeting.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT, IF YOU NEED SPECIAL ASSISTANCE TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS MEETING, PLEASE CONTACT THE COG OFFICE AT (562) 663-6850. NOTIFICATION 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE MEETING WILL ENABLE THE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS TO MAKE REASONABLE ARRANGEMENT TO ENSURE ACCESSIBILITY TO THIS MEETING.

VII. CONSENT CALENDAR
Item A.
Minutes
June 23, 2020

**MINUTES OF THE
SR-91/I-605/I-405 CORRIDOR CITIES
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC) MEETING
Zoom Conference
June 23, 2020**

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Noe Negrete at 1:37 p.m.

II. ROLL CALL

Roll Call was taken and presented below.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

	Name	City / Agency	Present	Absent
1	Okina Dor	Artesia		X
2	Jerry Stock (Vice Chair)	Bellflower	X	
3	Kanna Vancheswaran (Alternate)	Cerritos		X
4	Wendell Johnson	Compton		X
5	Ed Norris	Downey		X
6	Bill Pagett	Hawaiian Gardens	X	
7	Bing Hyun	Industry		X
8	Max Withrow	Lakewood	X	
9	Carl Hickman	Long Beach	X	
10	Glen Kau	Norwalk	X	
11	Bill Pagett	Paramount	X	
12	Kenner Guerrero	Pico Rivera		X
13	Noe Negrete (Chair)	Santa Fe Springs	X	
14	Michelle Chapman	Whittier		X
15	Charles Vosicka	County of Los Angeles	X	
16	Mark Christoffels	San Gabriel Valley COG	X	
17	Ernesto Chaves	Metro	X	
18	John Vassiliades	Caltrans		X
		Totals =	10	8

OTHER ATTENDEES:

Webex attendance record.

III. AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA

There were no amendments to the agenda.

IV. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

V. MATTERS FROM STAFF

There were no matters from staff.

VI. CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval of Minutes for the Meeting of February 25, 2020, of the SR-91/I-605/405 Technical Advisory Committee.

It was moved by Mark Christoffels, seconded by Glen Kau, to approve the minutes of the meeting of February 25, 2020. The motion was approved unanimously.

VII. REPORTS

A. Metro Highway Program Update on Measure R/M Funding

Ernesto Chaves provided an update on Measure R/M Funding programs. He provided PowerPoint exhibits for his report that addressed Covid-19 funding impacts due to lost revenue from transit ridership, fares and tolls as well as increased costs in response of the virus. Combined with sales tax the result is an estimated \$1.8 billion affecting Metro's programs.

He reviewed Phil Washington's memo dated May 26, 2020 regarding the CEO's call to action to control costs, which was included in the meeting agenda packet. The memo identified all project and programs grouped into two buckets, Bucket 1 list of projects are defined as "work to continue" and Bucket 2 list of projects were being evaluated to be deferred three to six months.

Metro is trying to find ways to keep the projects going while reducing expenditures. Over the next few months, staff will be developing the FY-21 budget which will incorporate a detailed review and twelve month look ahead for all projects.

Noe Negrete asked what projects are in Bucket 2 for the GCCOG, Ernesto informed the TAC that all Freeway projects are in Bucket 2 and all City projects are in Bucket 1.

It was moved by Mark Christoffels, seconded by Jerry Stock to receive and file the report. The motion was approved unanimously.

B. SR-91/I-605/I-405 Hot Spots Program Update

Carlos Montez provided an update and overview of the following Measure R programs:

- **I-605 Corridor Improvement Project**

The I-605 Corridor Improvement Project currently is evaluating four alternative (including the no-build Alternative) which includes 10 freeway interchanges. No non-standard alternative is currently being evaluated. Currently the draft environmental report and project report are being completed and the circulation is planned within the next months.

- **I-605 / South Street**

The project purpose is to improve weaving on SB I-605 by widening South St off-ramp and adding a second right-turn lane (two left and two right-turns total). Safety and operational improvements are enhanced to provide for standard deceleration distance.

Final Design of the Early Action Project (EAP) is Complete, award of Construction anticipated December 2020.

- **I-605 / Beverly Blvd. Interchange Project**

The Beverly Blvd Interchange exiting conditions utilize outdated design standards the project will eliminate the short weaving length between existing loop ramps by Implementing a diamond interchange that provides all movements at intersection & signalize ramp connections.

PA/ED will be completed in April 2020. The Final Design is estimated to be complete by Spring 2021, with Construction to start late 2021.

- **I-605 / Valley Blvd. Interchange Project**

The Valley Blvd Interchange Project provides an additional lane on Valley Blvd and an additional lane on Temple Ave. The existing horseshoe on-ramp would be replaced with a 3-lane on-ramp new signalized intersection at the SB I-605 ramps & Valley Blvd

Currently the draft environmental document is open for comment. The Final Design is estimated to be complete by Spring 2021, with Construction beginning in Fall of 2021.

- **SR-60 / 7th Ave. Interchange Project**

The project adds one lane on WB off-ramp, addresses geometric, operational, and safety issues of the ramps/local street intersections mitigating the queue which spills back onto freeway.

Environmental and Design package awarded, the Final Design is estimated to be complete by late 2021, with Construction early 2022.

- **SR-91 Westbound Improvement (Shoemaker to I-605).**

The Westbound SR-91 project provides widening to add a lane between Shoemaker and Alondra Blvd on SR-91.

PS&E awarded \$17 million in SB-1 funding Final design initiated in January 2020 Construction is anticipated to start in 2022.

- **SR-91 Central Avenue to Acacia Court**

The PA/ED Phase with a contract option for PS&E, was awarded to HNTB in May 2019. Alternatives considered include a collector-distributor lane to reduce weaving problems and improve intersection movements of level of service. It is anticipated, an 18-month study for the PA/ED phase followed by PS&E. The PS&E may be completed in phases based on funding limitations and project delivery approach.

PA/ED was awarded January 2020 with construction planned for 2023.

- **SR-91 Atlantic Blvd to Cherry Ave**

The on-going environmental phase (PA/ED) is nearing completion, currently working on the technical studies. The design phase is planned around the end of 2020. Key project elements include the congestion, weaving distance of auxiliary lanes, and visual/noise impacts. Adding an eastbound auxiliary lane from the I-710 Connectors to Cherry Ave off-ramp. Contract for the PA/ED & PS&E was awarded to TRC in October 2018.

Construction is planned for 2022.

It was moved by Max Withrow, seconded by Glen Kau to receive and file the report. The motion was approved unanimously.

C. I-605 Hot Spots Monthly Agency Updates

- **Project Presentation – Oral Reports format change to presentations & project overview.**

Kekoa Anderson discussed the Oral Reports format change to the presentations & project overview. The focus of the presentations will be on lessons learned, unique and positive innovations as well as to provide projects update on funding and schedule.

A draft template will be developed similar to Metro’s update on the SR-91/I-605/I-405 Hot Spots Program, where the geometry and alternatives are depicted with a summary of the analysis of alternatives and detailed cost estimates.

It was moved by Jerry Stock, seconded by Glen Kau to receive and file the report. The motion was approved unanimously.

D. Measure R Funding Requests

- **City of Lakewood – Lakewood Blvd./Del Amo Blvd. Hot Spot Intersection.**

Max Withrow provided a funding request presentation of the project which proposes to add a dual left-turn pocket to the existing single left-turn movements. The roadway widening requires widening of the existing bridge over the channel as depicted in the layout plans that were part of the presentation and included in the meeting agenda packet.

The project was presented with a detailed cost estimate and the following is a summary of the existing funding and estimated cost data for the funding request:

No	Project	Existing Funding	Current Cost Estimates**	Funding Request
1	Lakewood Blvd./Del Amo Blvd. Intersection Improvements	\$5,504,300	\$6,004,300	Construction Contingency Total = \$500,000

***Cost estimates above include, Planning, Environmental Clearance, Design, Right-of-way, Construction and Contingency.*

The funding request for the Lakewood Blvd./Del Amo Blvd. Hot Spot Intersection is \$500,000.00, which is part of the Non-Freeway fund allocation.

The committee requested Metro staff to issue a Letter of No Prejudice for this project. A roll call vote was taken, the funding requested for \$500,000.00 passed with eight Cities in favor and two abstentions (City of Paramount and Hawaiian Gardens) to approve the funding request subject to Metro’s project eligibility review.

- **County of Public Works Hot Spot – Mulberry Dr. and Painter Ave. Intersection Improvements.**

Charles Vosicka provided a funding request presentation of the project. Based on the final design effort, project changes that affect the construction cost estimate include sidewalks do not meet County standards, existing pavement condition and grade differences require pavement modifications, modification of the existing storm drain system was not contemplated, relocation of street lights and traffic signal poles was not anticipated, and the relocation of the continuation high school

marquee sign was not contemplated. These features were identified on the layout plans that were part of the presentation and included in the meeting agenda packet.

The project was presented with a detailed cost estimate and the following is a summary of the existing funding and estimated cost data for the funding request:

No	Project	Existing Funding	Current Cost Estimates**	Funding Request
1	Mulberry Dr. and Painter Ave. Intersection Improvements	\$2,410,000	\$4,789,000	Total = \$2,400,000

***Cost estimates above include, Planning, Environmental Clearance, Design, Right-of-way, Construction and Contingency.*

The question was raised regarding the use of construction funds for design while a funding agreement modification is being prepared. The TAC agreed that the funding request would include an amendment to the agreement to move funds between phases. The County to provide a cash flow schedule indicating the time when such funds would be needed.

The funding request for the Mulberry Dr. and Painter Ave. Intersection Improvements is \$2,400,000.00, which is part of the Non-Freeway fund allocation.

The committee requested Metro staff to issue a Letter of No Prejudice for this project.

A roll call vote was taken, the funding requested for \$2,400,000.00 was approved unanimously subject to Metro's project eligibility review.

E. Current Program Funding Update

Annaleigh Ekman provided a summary of the Project Budgets, Work Progress Summary table, and a Cash Flow table for the first decade (Attachment E). Updates have been made to the Metro summaries. The funded agreement value for all the projects is approximately \$58 million. The expended value as of this meeting is approximately \$24 million.

- **Non-Freeway Funds** - Cash Flow & Invoicing Status. The Measure M handout was not provided at the meeting but has been updated and provided in the meeting minutes. Measure M Updates include the execution three of the seven projects and the Long Beach project will go to the Metro Board for approval this Thursday. Two Measure R funded projects (City of Paramount and City of Lakewood) will go to the Metro Board for approval this Thursday.
- **Freeway Funds** - Cash Flow & Invoicing Status.

Note that several funding agreements have or soon will expire, projects that need to prepare an amendment to the existing funding agreements should contact Metro

to update. An email reminder was recently sent to the Cities. Outstanding items with the City of Norwalk regarding the scope and budget for the Firestone Blvd. project and FTIP sheet updates. Note the end of the FY is upon us and the accounting department is requesting all invoicing for work completed prior to June 30, 2020.

It was moved by Jerry Stock, seconded by Bill Pagett to receive and file the report. The motion was approved unanimously.

F. Measure R and M Highway Subregional Program Semi-Annual Update

Ernesto Chaves provided an overview of the Board Report, dated June 17, 2020 regarding the Measure R and M Highway Subregional Program Semi-Annual Update for the TAC's reference. The Measure R Highway Subregional Program update allows the Metro Highway Program and each subregion revised delivery and amend project budgets for the implementation. Attachments A & B were included along with the Board Report, which documents the list of projects within the Gateway Cities COG for both Measure R & M. He expects the Board to approve it this Thursday, June 25th.

The Board Report directs staff to make changes to the approved scope of work or basis of the project as needed as long as it meets the eligibility requirements.

Noe Negrete questioned Ernesto regarding Attachment B - Measure M Studebaker Road Complete Street Project for the City of Long Beach. He felt this project was rejected at the TAC level and then approved at the Board. Ernesto stated that the TAC did not reject the project only the bike components, the TAC approved 9-10 projects for the first 5-years of the Measure M MSP back in January which included the Studebaker Road Project. Metro then conducted an eligibility review to confirm the project elements, after further clarification the bike elements were deemed eligible and reintroduced to what was approved back in January.

Committee members requested that the previous meeting minutes be amended regarding the action taken on the Studebaker Road project at the previous TAC meeting.

It was moved by Glen Kau seconded by Max Withrow to receive and file the report. The motion was approved unanimously.

G. Gateway Cities COG Engineer Report

Kekoa Anderson reviewed the funding request process and provided the following important dates regarding project submittals.

Adding items and Funding Requests to the agenda need to be done by the 2nd Thursday of the month, which is one week prior to the TAC agenda announcement

date which is the 3rd Thursday of the month. Funding requests with presentations need to be sent to Ernesto and Kekoa for review on or before that timeframe to be included on the agenda.

The 91/605/405 TAC meeting is every other month, so the next TAC meeting will be on August 25, 2020 at 1:30pm.

It was moved by Mark Christoffels seconded by Bill Pagett to receive and file the report. The motion was approved unanimously.

VIII. COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS

There were no comments from the committee members.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 3:09 p.m.

VII. REPORTS

Item B.

91/605/405 Hot Spots Program Update

**I-605 CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
WB SR-91 IMPROVEMENTS FROM SHOEMAKER TO I-605
SR 91 ACACIA COURT TO CENTRAL AVE.
SR-91 ATLANTIC TO CHERRY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
LOCAL HOT SPOTS UPDATES**

VII. REPORTS
Item C.

Measure R Funding Request

CITY OF ARTESIA
PIONEER BLVD TRAFFIC IMPROVEMENTS

VII. REPORTS

Item D.

Current Program Funding Update

**CASH FLOW & INVOICING STATUS (NON-FREEWAY)
CASH FLOW & INVOICING STATUS (HIGHWAY FUNDS)**

VII. REPORTS
Item E.

Gateway Cities COG

SR-91 AESTHETIC MASTER PLAN
MONTHLY PDT MEETING

**SR-91 AESTHETIC MASTER PLAN
MONTHLY PDT MEETING**

Tuesday, August 11, 2020 at 11:00 a.m.

Meeting Remote Location
via Webex

X. INTRODUCTIONS

Meeting attendance:

	Name	City / Agency	Present	Absent
1	Okina Dor	Artesia		X
2	Len Gorecki	Bellflower	X	
3	Robert Lopez	Cerritos	X	
4	Craig Cornwell	Compton		X
5	Tom Modica	Long Beach		X
6	Jessica Serrano	Norwalk	X	
7	Adriana Figueroa	Paramount	X	
8	Abraham Almaw	Caltrans	X	
9	George Olguin	Caltrans	X	
10	Isaac Tasabia	Caltrans	X	
11	Lucy Delgadillo	Metro	X	
12	Carlos Montes	Metro	X	
13	Lourdes Ortega	Metro	X	
14	Julio Perucho	Metro	X	
15	Kekoa Anderson	GCCOG	X	
16	Traci Gleason	GCCOG	X	

The completed master plans to date are for I-710 Corridor and the I-605 Corridor which both include the interchanges at SR-91. When the two plans were completed, the next step was to look at the east-west connection of SR-91, and how it ties into the aesthetics for the I-710 Corridor and the I-605 Corridor. To the east of the project, I-5, that crosses into Orange County, has another aesthetic theme. To the west of the project, outside of the COG boundaries, another theme is being developed. The goal of the SR-91 Aesthetic Committee is to determine a concept plan for this segment of SR-91 that blends with the individual perpendicular freeway connections and tie into themes to the east and west.

One of the reasons to initiate the SR-91 Aesthetic Master Plan now is because there are projects that are in progress.

- Westbound 91, which is in Plans, Specifications & Estimate (PS&E) Phase. 65% Submittal is anticipated by December of this year.
- SR-91 (Atlantic to Cherry), which is in Project Approval and Environmental Document (PA&ED) phase
- SR-91 (Central to Acacia), which also is in PA&ED phase.

Aesthetic assumptions for structures need to be defined so that they can be incorporated into the structural analysis for 65% design level. Not having the aesthetics defined early may cause re-work and potential delays to project schedules.

XI. GENERAL PROJECT OVERVIEW AND STATUS

Isaac Tasabia provided an overview of the draft SR-91 Corridor Aesthetic Master Plan. The plan is a work in progress. The final version is anticipated to be completed by November of this year.

The limits for the aesthetic master plan corridor extend from the City of Compton to the Los Angeles County/Orange County line.

The corridor master plan needs to unify and compliment the existing corridor. Though a lot of the existing structures along the corridor are old and will be replaced or widened, existing newer structures (such as the Shoemaker Avenue bridge) will remain in place.

Project Aesthetics Goals and Objectives are kept at the forefront to create a visually pleasing corridor, ensure visual unity with the corridor, enhance community identity, promote design for safety, functionality and maintainability. As the aesthetic master plan progresses to the final, more architectural details will be included in the plan to show the designer/engineer how to incorporate the aesthetic components.

Carlos Montez requested that when evaluating the aesthetics for structures, that the existing shoulder widths is accounted for because adding certain types of aesthetics treatments that compromise the structure height can be problematic. This issue was encountered on I-605. Isaac Tasabia noted that they work with the engineering team to resolve any technical issues during the design phase.

Overcrossing aesthetic features shown in the plan include, but not limited to:

- Treatment for inside face of barrier
- Lighting
- Type 7 modified decorative fencing
- Treatment for median
- Type II one-way flare columns
- Decorative light pedestal
- Barrier with fractured fin treatment
- Vertical abutments that have rounded corners
- Fractured fin wingwalls

Concrete integral color (instead of a paint or stain) is planned to be used for both the fractured fin and the smooth concrete. But because the fractured fin has a rougher texture, it looks darker.

For most of the replacement structures, cast in place concrete structures are typically proposed. Provisions for pre-cast girder options have been made though when cast-in-place structures are not possible. Two options for pre-cast are provided in the master plan: precast bath-tub girders or bub-tee girders with the exterior girders to be casted with vertical face so that it would look more like a cast-in-place structure.

For typical columns, the type II one-way flare column was reviewed. This type of column was chosen because for the 91 Westbound, vertical clearance limitations.

Undercrossing aesthetic features shown in the plan include, but not limited to:

- Type II one-way flare columns
- Decorative light pedestals
- Soundwall on top of barrier (not at all locations)
- Bridge abutments with multiple textures: western basalt texture, smooth band, and fractured fin.
- Incorporation of a city identifier such as a city seal

Soundwalls are shown with two different color blocks: a sandstone (tan) color split face block to match the existing corridor soundwall color, accented with a natural gray color split face block, that has a different width, for the mountain ridge pattern. The mountain and artesian well motif will be incorporated. Pilasters are planned to be spaced at 349'-4" on center, which matches the I-605 corridor spacing. The spacing of the pilasters are directly related to freeway speeds.

XII. OPEN FORUM/DISCUSSION

Len Gorecki requested if considerations for anti-graffiti coating on the new split face walls are being made. George Olguin provided information that a sacrificial anti-graffiti coating is typically placed, but it is a multi-step process, which becomes difficult and dangerous to execute due to traffic. Often maintenance will opt to paint, and unfortunately, sometimes the paint doesn't match the block.

ACTION: *Caltrans - George Olguin* will check with maintenance and report back to the aesthetic committee regarding potential solutions for graffiti.

Jessica Serrano requested for consideration of the soundwalls to have a continuous ridgeline and for the gray tone to start at the ridgeline and extend down to the base of the wall so that the ridgeline stands out more.

The master plan currently shows standard lighting. Issac Tasabia requested for input from the committee if decorative lighting should be an option.

ACTION: *Caltrans - Issac Tasabia* to provide the different types of options for decorative lighting at the next meeting.

For slope paving, cobblestone and mortar is being considered to be incorporated. Input of texture preference from the committee is requested. Robert Lopez commented that the integral color for the stone texture be a contrasting, but still compatible, color. Issac suggested a stain can be added to the fractured-fin.

Some alternatives to the fractured fin textures are: Western Basalt; dry stack; cobblestone; brick, various types of stones such as field stone or cut stone. It should be noted that if a rock texture is selected at the base of the structure (i.e. retaining wall), and is stained to mimic a natural rock, it is difficult to re-paint over the rock that has been tagged to make it look like the original. The rough Western Basalt texture and fractured fin are recommended because it has integral color. If it is tagged, it can be washed off and it still have the same color. Or if it is painted over, it won't look as obvious.

XIII. NEXT STEPS/NEXT MEETING

Comments on the Draft Aesthetic Master Plan are due Tuesday, August 25, 2020.

ACTION: *PDT* to submit comments to Kekoa Anderson (kekoa@koaconsulting.net) by August 25, 2020.

Kekoa Anderson will compile and distribute the comments.

The next meeting date is to be determined.

ACTION: *Caltrans - Issac Tasabia* will get back to Lucy regarding a meeting date after reviewing the extent of the comments and get a better estimate of when the comments will be addressed into the updated master plan for the next meeting.

XIV. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 12:29 p.m.