



## **I-710 Technical Advisory Committee**

*Wednesday, June 19, 2013*

*1:30 – 3:30 PM*

**Gateway Cities Council of Governments**  
**16401 Paramount Boulevard, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Conference Room**  
**Paramount, California**

### **AGENDA**

**STAFF REPORTS AND OTHER WRITTEN DOCUMENTS ARE AVAILABLE IN THE GATEWAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS OFFICES, 16401 PARAMOUNT BOULEVARD, PARAMOUNT, CALIFORNIA. ANY PERSON HAVING QUESTIONS CONCERNING ANY AGENDA ITEM MAY CALL THE COG STAFF AT (562) 663-6850.**

**FOR YOUR INFORMATION:** The I-710 Technical Advisory Committee will hear from the public on any item on the agenda or an item of interest that is not on the agenda. The I-710 Technical Advisory Committee cannot take action on any item not scheduled on the agenda. These items may be referred for administrative action or scheduled on a future agenda. Comments are to be limited to three minutes for each speaker, unless extended by the I-710 Technical Advisory Committee, and each speaker will only have one opportunity to speak on any one topic. You have the opportunity to address the I-710 Technical Advisory Committee at the following times:

- A. **AGENDA ITEM:** at this time the I-710 Technical Advisory Committee considers the agenda item OR during Public Comments, and
- B. **NON-AGENDA ITEMS:** during Public Comments, comments will be received for a maximum 20-minute period; any additional requests will be heard following the completion of the I-710 Technical Advisory Committee agenda; and
- C. **PUBLIC HEARINGS:** at the time for public hearings.

**Please keep your comments brief and complete a speaker card for the Chair.**

- I. CALL TO ORDER**
- II. ROLL CALL – BY SELF INTRODUCTIONS**
- III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**IV. AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA** - This is the time and place to change the order of the agenda, delete or add any agenda item(s).

**V. PUBLIC COMMENTS** - Three minutes for each speaker.

**VI. MATTERS FROM STAFF**

**VII. CONSENT CALENDAR:** All items under the Consent Calendar may be enacted by one motion. Any item may be removed from the Consent Calendar and acted upon separately by the I-710 Technical Advisory Committee.

A. Approve Minutes for the Meeting of May 15, 2013, of the I-710 Technical Advisory Committee

CONSENT CALENDAR ACTION:

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM.

**VIII. REPORTS**

A. I-710 Project Committee Meeting – Oral Report by GCCOG

**20 Min** SUGGESTED ACTION: A Motion to hear report, possible action (concur with Key Traffic Assumptions) and/or Give Direction to Staff

B. Engineering Report on I-710 Corridor Project RDEIR/SDEIS – Oral Report by URS

**5 Min** SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT, POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

C. Report on I-710 Corridor Project RDEIR/SDEIS Environmental Document – Oral Report LSA

**5 Min** SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT, POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

D. Community Participation Report on I-710 Corridor Project RDEIR/SDEIS– Oral Report by MIG

**5 Min** SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT, POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

E. Amendments to Metro's MOU's with Gateway Cities Council of Governments Cities in the I-710 Corridor – Oral Report by TAC Members

**10 Min** SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT, POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

F. I-710/I-5 Status and Update – Oral Report by MTA and COG

**5 Min** SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT, POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

G. Current I-710 Early Action Project Update – Oral Reports by Consultants, COG or MTA

**25 Min** SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT, POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

H. COG Engineer's Report by Jerry Wood – Oral Report

**10 Min** SUGGESTED ACTION: A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND FILE REPORT, POSSIBLE ACTION AND/OR GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF

**IX. MATTERS FROM THE I-710 TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**X. MATTERS FROM THE CHAIR**

**XI. ADJOURNMENT**

NOTICE: New items will not be considered after 4:00 p.m. unless the I-710 Technical Advisory Committee votes to extend the time limit. Any items on the agenda that are not completed will be forwarded to the next regular I-710 Technical Advisory Committee meeting scheduled for Wednesday, July 17, 2013, 1:30 PM.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT, IF YOU NEED SPECIAL ASSISTANCE TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS MEETING, PLEASE CONTACT THE COG OFFICE AT (562) 663-6850. NOTIFICATION 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE MEETING WILL ENABLE THE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS TO MAKE REASONABLE ARRANGEMENT TO ENSURE ACCESSIBILITY TO THIS MEETING.

**VII. CONSENT CALENDAR**  
**Item A**  
**Approval of Minutes**

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE  
I-710 CORRIDOR PROJECT EIR/EIS  
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
16401 Paramount Blvd., Paramount, CA  
May 15, 2013**

**I. Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Bill Pagett at 1:42 p.m.

**II. Roll Call**

PRESENT: Bill Pagett, City of Cudahy, City of Maywood, and City of Paramount, Chair; Steve Hilton, City of Bell Gardens; Richard Garland, City of Carson; Alex Hamilton, City of Commerce; Ed Norris, City of Downey; Derek Wieske, City of Long Beach; Mohammad Mostahkami, City of South Gate; James Yang, County of Los Angeles; Ron Kosinski, Caltrans; Adrian Alvarez, MTA; Kerry Cartwright, POLA; Susan Nakamura, AQMD; Art Goodwin, ACTA (ex officio); Alex Pugh, SCE (ex officio); Michael Mercado, LADWP (ex officio).

ABSENT: Terry Rodrigue, City of Bell; Hien Nguyen, City of Compton; James Enriquez, City of Huntington Park; Elias Saikaly, City of Lynwood; Charlie Honeycutt, City of Signal Hill; Kevin Wilson, City of Vernon; Theresa Dau Ngo, POLB; Jacob Waclaw, FHWA & FTA; Tommi Tyler, CHP; Phillip Law, SCAG

OTHER ATTENDEES: Jerry Wood, GCCOG; Danielle Valentino, MTA; Abdi Saghafi, Caltrans; John Vassiliades, Caltrans; Carlos Montez, Caltrans; Dave Levinsohn, URS; Shannon Willits, URS; Esmeralda Garcia, MIG; Amit Shah, AECOM; Gill Hicks, Cambridge Systematics; Shawn Clark, Cardno ERI; Melissa de la Pena, CH2M Hill; Wayne Richardson, MARRS; Pat Sommerville, HNTB

**III. Pledge of Allegiance**

The Pledge of Allegiance was held.

**IV. Amendments to the Agenda**

It was the consensus of the TAC to correct the title of item G to: Presentation of Additional 20 Intersections to be Included in the RDEIR/SDEIS. There were no other amendments to the agenda.

**V. Public Comments**

There were no public comments.

**VI. Matters from Staff**

There were no matters from the staff.

**VII. Consent Calendar**

It was the consensus of the TAC to approve the minutes of the meeting of April 17, 2013.

**VIII. Reports**

**A. Engineering Report on I-710 Corridor Project RDEIR/SDEIS**

Shannon Willits of URS provided an overview of the previous month's engineering efforts. This mostly involved continuing to meet with Caltrans and updating the geometric plans and beginning to coordinate with TAC members on the geometric designs. Mr. Willits reviewed the various details and issues with the geometric designs at various locations along the corridor. He also reviewed the status of reviews of the previous recommendations from both the TAC and CAC for the two build alternatives and how they were being accounted for.

It was the consensus of the TAC to receive and file the report.

Dave Levinsohn, URS, and Gill Hicks, Cambridge Systematics, presented the key traffic assumptions recommended for the updated I-710 Traffic Model. They reviewed both the proposed person movement and goods movement traffic assumptions that were being recommended and the reasons for them. They said these were outlined in detail in Attachments A and B of the staff report of the agenda.

After some discussion, it was the consensus of the TAC to have URS prepare the traffic model based on the assumptions as detailed in Attachments A and B of the staff report and to forward that recommendation to the Project Committee for concurrence. It was further the consensus of the TAC that when the results of the traffic model runs were completed to return to the TAC with the results and for discussion and for any additional input and recommendations to be used for potential additional traffic modeling runs.

**B. Report on I-710 Corridor Project RDEIR/SDEIS Environmental Document**

Rob McCann of LSA gave a PowerPoint presentation that summarized the update of the environmental document. The draft responses of the comments that were received on the DEIR/DEIS have been completed and meetings with stakeholders to review the responses and the comments were being held. He also summarized the key issues raised in those comments and said that they will continue to coordinate with the engineering team as the project develops.

It was the consensus of the TAC to receive and file the report.

**C. Community Participation Report on I-710 Corridor Project RDEIR/SDEIS**

Esmeralda Garcia of MIG gave a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the community participation process, which included meetings with local advisory committees and the Corridor Advisory Committee. She reviewed the schedule of upcoming coordination meetings.

It was the consensus of the TAC to receive and file the report.

**D. Amendments to Metro's MOU with Gateway Cities Council of Governments Cities in the I-710 Corridor**

Based on the previous requests of TAC members, Adrian Alvarez of MTA said that MTA is supporting the TAC requests for additional MOU's for funding assistance for oversight of the I-710 Corridor Project RDEIR/SDEIS for the cities and communities along the I-710 Corridor. He asked the TAC members to submit specific time frames and funding requests (budgets) and said the same process that was developed before for the DEIR/DEIS will be followed. Jerry Wood said he would send out this request to the TAC members and collect this information prior to the next TAC meeting, where he would present those results.

It was the consensus of the TAC to receive and file the report and for the COG to send out the MOU requests to the TAC members.

**E. I-710/I-5 Status and Update**

Jerry Wood reported that proposals had been received for the NB I-5 to NB I-710 PSR-PDS and were being reviewed and that they expected to have a consultant under contract by the following month.

It was the consensus of the TAC to receive and file the report. The motion passed unanimously.

#### **F. Presentation on Feasibility Analysis for Early Action Sound Walls**

Melissa de la Pena of CH2MHill gave a PowerPoint presentation regarding the results of the feasibility analysis to determine which proposed sound walls for the I-710 Corridor Project could be constructed early. Slide 20 of the PowerPoint summarized the locations where approximately 5 miles of new walls could be built and about 7.1 miles of existing walls could be aesthetically treated. She summarized the results of the various other technical studies that were developed to support this conclusion.

It was the consensus of the TAC to concur with the conclusions of the feasibility study and to proceed with the three recommendations as outlined in the staff report.

#### **G. Presentation on Additional 20 Intersections to be Included in the RDEIR/SDEIS**

Jerry Wood presented the analysis for the additional 20 intersections to be analyzed in the RDEIR/SDEIS based on the staff report attached to the TAC agenda.

After discussion, it was the consensus of the TAC to include the additional 20 intersections as shown in Table 3 of the staff report.

#### **H. Current I-710 Early Action Projects**

Jerry Wood gave a very brief report on the overall status of the early action projects.

It was the consensus of the TAC to receive and file the report.

#### **I. COG Engineer's Report**

Jerry Wood reminded the TAC that the Project Committee meeting was scheduled for May 30. He also briefly reviewed the status of the Strategic Transportation Plan, I-405 coordination, and the AQAP study.

It was the consensus of the TAC to receive and file the report.

### **IX. Matters from the I-710 Technical Advisory Committee**

There were no matters from the TAC members.



**X. Matters from the Chair**

There were no matters from the Chair.

**XI. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned by consensus at 3:30 p.m.