

GATEWAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

AGENDA

Chair – Bonnie Lowenthal, Director, MTA

August 2, 2006, 4:30 PM
Gateway Cities COG Offices
16401 Paramount Blvd.
Paramount, CA

Item No.	Description	Recommended Action
I.	Call to Order – Self Introductions	
II.	Pledge of Allegiance	
III.	Approval of Meeting Minutes of June 7, 2006	Approval
IV.	Reports:	
1.	Legislation Infrastructure Bonds-Information Packets	Receive & File
2.	MTA Planning Studies	Receive & File
3.	Multi-County Goods Movement Action Plan – Task 5A (Update)	Receive & File
4.	Gateway Sector Report - Alex Clifford, Gateway Service Sector General Manager	Receive & File
5.	Update – I-5 JPA	Receive & File
6.	Update – I-710 Major Corridor Study	Receive & File
7.	Update – SR 91/I-605 Needs Assessment	Receive & File
8.	New Business/Date of Next Meeting	
9.	Adjournment	

IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT, IF YOU NEED SPECIAL ASSISTANCE TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS MEETING, PLEASE CONTACT THE COG OFFICE AT (562) 663-6850. NOTIFICATION 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE MEETING WILL ENABLE THE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS TO MAKE REASONABLE ARRANGEMENT TO ENSURE ACCESSIBILITY TO THIS MEETING.

Item III

Approval of Minutes for the Meeting of June 7, 2006

**Transportation Committee Minutes of
June 7, 2006**

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING
OF THE GATEWAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE
Chair – MTA Director Bonnie Lowenthal
Gateway Cities Office, 16401 Paramount Blvd. , Paramount
June 7, 2006**

CALL TO ORDER: Councilmember Bonnie Lowenthal called the meeting to order at 4:36 p.m. Roll-call was taken by self-introduction.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: Gene Daniels – Paramount, Ray Harris – Supervisor Don Knabe’s Office, Marina Suerio – Santa Fe Springs, Bonnie Lowenthal – Long Beach, Mohammed Mastakami, Ken Farsing – Signal Hill, Gary Milliman – South Gate, Kerry Cartwright – Port of Long Beach. Stan Carroll - La Habra Heights

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT: Greg Nordbak, Larry Nelson, Elba Guerrero

OTHERS PRESENT: Alex Clifford, David Hershenson, Sharad Mulchand, Michael Sieckert, Hassan Fakhro – Metro, Gateway Sector Council, Richard Powers, Jack Joseph, Deborah Chankin, Karen Heit, Jerry Wood – Gateway COG. Sumi Gant – Long Beach, Lee Saage – URS, Patrician Price - AQMD.

A quorum was present and the Minutes of the May 3, 2006 meeting were approved.

Karen Heit, Transportation Deputy to MTA Director Lowenthal, gave a presentation on the status of SB 1266 summarizing the areas of interest for the Gateway cities. There was a discussion of possible goods movement and high priority highway projects. The MTA Long Range Plan activities have been deferred pending the outcome of the Bond Initiatives

Karen Heit gave an update on the Multi-County Goods Movement Action Plan; reporting on the status of the responses to the comments on Task 3. The consultant team committed to addressing the COG comments and other agencies comments but the responses have not been published nor has Task 3 been revised. Richard Powers, GCCOG Executive Director, expressed his disappointment with project progress

The soundwall status report was given by Karen Heit along with the status of projects within the Gateway sector. Richard Powers gave an overview of moveable more flexible soundwall products that may serve as interim solutions, or as early action projects to the I-710 project

Gateway Sector General Manager, Alex Clifford, presented an overview and status of the Metro Connections project, and requested information from the cities that could be incorporated into the planning. Mike Sieckert gave a report on upcoming service

Transportation Committee Minutes of

June 7, 2006

change recommendations and travel projections. He outlined a pilot project of service changes that would be rolled out 12/06. Ken Farfsing recommended that the service changes be Deborah Chankin, GCCOG staff, wanted data on which regional centers were used to identify hubs as well as what data was used to determine the travel travels.

Jerry Wood, I-5 JPA Executive Director, updated the progress made on I-5 project, including the groundbreaking on the I-5 project in Orange County. Caltrans has acquired 20 homes for Carmenita and construction is scheduled for 2008. All property acquisitions are underway. There was a report on the project at the MTA Board meeting on cost savings.

Richard Powers, COG Executive Director, gave an update on the I-710 Major Corridor Study (MCS) including a summary of the first Executive Committee Meeting and Project Committee Meetings. The Port of Los Angeles approved its contribution to the project, completing the funding. The Project Committee continues to review alternative technologies.

Mr. Powers updated the status of the SR-91/I-605 Needs Assessment. The COG is continuing to seek funding to move forward with a major corridor study. The 91-605 cities project will also consider alternative technologies as solutions.

The meeting adjourned at 5:37 p.m.

IV. Reports

1. Legislation Infrastructure Bonds Information Packets

Transportation Committee Agenda

August 2, 2006

TO: Transportation Committee

FROM: Bonnie Lowenthal, Transportation Committee Chair

BY: Karen Heit, Transportation Deputy

SUBJECT: State Infrastructure Bond Initiative Update

Issue

The MTA has published summary materials for the upcoming November Infrastructure Bond Initiatives. These materials outline the three different Propositions; their use and funding allocations. Of particular interest to cities is the Los Angeles County estimate (by city) for the \$2 billion for Local Streets and Road Improvement. These funds are eligible for street and road repair projects that reduce traffic or improve traffic flow and increase traffic safety.

These materials can also be found at the MTA "Metro.Net" web site on the home page under "Spotlight".

Attachments

- Infrastructure Bond Update
- Transportation Infrastructure Bond Package

Transportation Committee Agenda

August 2, 2006

Infrastructure Bond Update

Background

California voters will be asked to consider a package of infrastructure bonds on the November 2006 ballot. The measures relating to transportation are:

- [Proposition 1A](#) which would enhance protections for existing transportation funds;
- [Proposition 1B](#) which would provide \$19.9 billion in general obligation bonds for transportation improvements; and
- [Proposition 1C](#) which would provide funding for housing projects near transportation facilities.

Metro estimates that over \$5 billion could be allocated to Los Angeles County with \$1.9 billion to be shared by the County, the 88 cities within the County, Metro and other transit operators through existing funding formulas. The following outlines the provisions of each measure:

PROPOSITION 1A

Transportation Funding Protection Legislative Constitutional Amendment

In 2002, voters approved a measure (Proposition 42) which committed the existing state sales tax on gasoline, about \$1.3 billion per year for transportation purposes. These funds are allocated to congestion relief projects, the state highway system, local street repair and public transit. However, Proposition 42 included a provision that allows these funds to be suspended when the State of California experiences budget deficits. To date, over \$2 billion have been suspended.

Proposition 1A would discourage suspension of these funds by:

- Authorizing suspension of Proposition 42 funds only if the Governor issues a proclamation, the legislature concurs by a 2/3 vote and passes a bill that requires the funds be repaid within a three-year period;
- Stipulating that Proposition 42 funds may only be suspended twice in a ten-year period and may not be suspended while a previous loan is still outstanding;
- Allowing for a ten-year repayment period of previous Proposition 42 loans.

PROPOSITION 1B

Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality and Port Security Act of 2006

Proposition 1B is the transportation infrastructure bond. This General Obligation bond totals \$19.925 billion and would be allocated to congestion relief, public transit, goods movement facilities, transportation safety and security, air quality, port security, street and road repair.

The measure proposes to allocate the funds in the following manner:

Corridor Mobility Improvement (\$4.5 billion)

These funds would be allocated by the California Transportation Commission to highly

Transportation Committee Agenda

August 2, 2006

congested travel corridors in the State. Projects in this category must be a high priority; be able to start construction by 2012, improve mobility in a highly congested corridor by improving travel times and reducing vehicle hours of delay, connect the state highway system and improve access to jobs, housing, markets and commerce. For competing projects, the CTC must evaluate the quantifiable air quality benefits and safety benefits. The CTC must allocate funds consistent with North/South split which guarantees that Southern California receives 60% of these funds and Northern California receives 40%.

State Route 99 (\$1 billion)

State Route 99, located in the Central Valley, will receive these funds specifically for safety, operational enhancements, or capacity improvements.

California Ports Infrastructure, Security and Air Quality (\$3.1 billion)

Of this total amount \$2 billion will be available for infrastructure improvements along trade corridors that have high volumes of freight movement: \$1 billion will be allocated by the State Air Resources Board for emission reduction from freight movement along trade corridors and; \$100 million will be allocated by the Office of Emergency Services as grants for ports, harbor and ferry terminal security enhancements.

1. The CTC will allocate these funds to projects that include but are not limited to; highway capacity improvements and operational improvements that more efficiently accommodate the movement of freight from seaports, navigable inland waterways, land ports of entry and airports.
2. Freight rail improvements that enhance the ability to move freight (from the same as above) including projects that separate rail lines from highways or roadways, or move freight through mountainous areas.
3. Enhance the capacity and efficiency of ports.
4. Truck corridor improvements including truck toll facilities.
5. Border access improvements.
6. Surface improvements to enhance the movement of freight from airports.

State Transportation Improvement Program (\$2 billion)

This category augments the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that funds transportation projects. These funds are subject to current law which allocates funds based on county population and highway miles.

Public Transportation, Modernization Improvement and Service Enhancement (\$4 billion)

This program will allocate \$3.6 billion for new transit projects including intercity rail projects and for commuter or urban rail operators, bus operators, waterborne transit operators for rehabilitation, safety or modernization improvements, service enhancements and new capital projects. The remaining \$400 million is for intercity rail, of which \$125 million must be used to purchase new cars and locomotives.

Transit System Safety, Security and Disaster Response (\$1 billion)

Funds in this category will be allocated to transportation agencies for capital projects that enhance safety, security and protect against the threat of disaster by developing safe and secure response transportation systems.

Transportation Committee Agenda

August 2, 2006

State-Local Partnership Program (\$1 billion)

The funds provide a state dollar-to-dollar match to locally funded transportation improvements. This provides incentives for local governments to invest in transportation improvements. The California Transportation Commission will allocate these funds over a five-year period.

Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit (\$125 million)

The funds will be used as an 11.5 percent local to match Federal Highway Bridge Replacement and Repair funds for seismic work on local bridges, ramps and overpasses.

Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety (\$250 million)

The funds would be allocated by the State Department of Transportation for the construction of high priority grade separation and railroad crossing safety improvements pursuant to current law.

Highway Safety Rehabilitation and Preservation (\$750 million)

This program will fund the State's Highway Operation Preservation Program.

- \$250 million will be set aside to fund a Signal Synchronization program to be developed by Caltrans.

School Bus Replacement Program (\$200 million)

These funds will be used reduce diesel emissions by retrofitting and replacing buses.

Local Streets and Road Improvement, Congestion Relief and Traffic Safety Account of 2006 (\$2 billion)

The funds shall be used for street and road repair projects that reduce traffic congestion, improve traffic flow and increase traffic safety.

- \$1 billion will be allocated to counties 75% based on vehicles and 25% on maintained county roads.
- \$1 billion will be allocated to cities based on proportionate population.

[top](#)

PROPOSITION 1C

Housing and Emergency Shelter Trust Fund Act of 2006

Proposition 1C is the housing and emergency shelter bond that provides \$2.85 billion for a variety of housing and emergency shelter projects. Within this measure are two provisions that will fund housing projects located near public transit. The first provides \$850 million to Infill Housing projects which supports the location of housing near transportation facilities. The second provision provides \$300 million for the Transit Oriented Development projects which specifically promotes the development of housing near public transit.

Transportation Committee Agenda
 August 2, 2006

TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE BOND PACKAGE

On May 5, 2006 the State Legislature approved a series of measures comprising an infrastructure bond package. The transportation related measures are listed below. The Metro Board of Directors will address project selection after the election.

Measures on the November ballot		PROVISIONS
BILL	SUBJECT	
Proposition 1A (SCA7)	Proposition 42 Funding	After 2009, would authorize suspension of Proposition 42 funds only if the Governor issues a proclamation and the legislature concurs by a 2/3 vote; the funds are repaid within 3 years and the funds can only be taken twice in a ten year period. Allows for 10 year repayment of previous Prop 42 loans.
Proposition 1B (SB 1266)	Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality and Port Security Act of 2006	Would authorize the issuance of \$19.925 billion in General Obligation Bonds for a variety of transportation related uses. See following pages for breakdown of this measure.
Companion Legislation Enacted		
BILL	SUBJECT	PROVISIONS
AB 1039 (Chapter 31)	Permit Streamlining	Authorizes streamlined CEQA process for levees, local bridge seismic repair. Authorizes streamlined CEQA process for State Route 99. Authorizes Caltrans to act as NEPA agency.
AB 1467 (Chapter 32)	Public Private Partnerships/HOT lanes	Authorizes 4 Public Private Partnerships (Franchise Agreements) as follows: > 2 north/2 south > Projects must be goods movement related and be tolled truckways. > Currently subject to legislative approval. Authorizes 4 High Occupancy Toll (HOT) lanes: > 2 north/2 south
AB 1540 (Chapter 30)	Ballot Language for SCA 7 (1A)	Specifies that the SCA 7 Ballot title will be: Transportation Funding Protection Legislative Constitutional Amendment
AB 143 To be Reconsidered	Design Build	Authorizes 10 projects to use design build.

Transportation Committee Agenda
 August 2, 2006

SB 1266 THE HIGHWAY SAFETY, TRAFFIC REDUCTION, AIR QUALITY, AND PORT SECURITY BOND ACT OF 2006

Category	Amount	Sub-Alloc.	Description
Corridor Mobility Improvement Program	\$4,500		> Performance improvements on highly congested corridors > Funds to be used on projects that improve the performance in the State Highway System
SR 99	\$1,000		
California Ports Infrastructure, Security and Air Quality (Sub-Categories as follows)	\$3,100		
		\$2,000 to the Trade Corridor Improvement Fund	Eligible projects include: 1. Highway capacity improvements and operational improvements that more efficiently accommodate the movement of freight from seaports, navigable inland waterways, land ports of entry and airports. 2. Freight rail improvements that enhance the ability to move freight from the same as above including projects that separate rail lines from highways or roadways, move freight through mountainous areas. Funds are to supplement existing funds used to finance projects that reduce emissions and improve air quality in trade corridors.
		\$1,000 to the State Air Resources Board	Funds are to supplement existing funds used to finance projects that reduce emissions and improve air quality in trade corridors.
		\$0.100 to the Office of Emergency Services (OES)	Funds are to be allocated to ports, harbor and ferry boat and ferry terminal operators for security improvements.
School Bus Replacement	\$0.200		For schoolbus retrofit and replacement which reduces children's exposure to diesel exhaust.
State Transportation Improvement Program	\$2,000		Funds allocated through STIP program

Transportation Committee Agenda
 August 2, 2006

SB 1266 THE HIGHWAY SAFETY, TRAFFIC REDUCTION, AIR QUALITY, AND PORT SECURITY BOND ACT OF 2006

Category	Amount	Sub-Alloc.	Description
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement and Service Enhancement Account	\$4,000		For intercity rail projects and to commuter or urban rail operators, bus operators, waterborne transit operators, and other transit operators in California for rehabilitation, safety or capital projects.
		\$0.400 for intercity rail	\$125 million must be used to purchase new cars and locomotives
Transit System Safety, Security and Disaster Responses Account	\$1,000	\$3,600 for transit projects	Funds shall be available for rehab safety or modernization capital service enhancements, or new capital projects.
			Capital projects that provide increased protection against a security and safety threat, and for capital expenditures to increase the capacity of transit operators, including waterborne transit operators, to develop disaster response.
State and Local Partnership	\$1,000		Provides matching funds for local sales taxes
Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Account	\$0.125		Provides 11 1/2 % match for federal funds
Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Account	\$0.250	\$0.150	Allocations based on current law (S&H Code 2450)
		\$0.100	non-high priority projects not covered by S&H code
Highway Safety Rehabilitation and Preservation Account	\$0.750		Funds to be allocated based on the State Highway Operations and Preservation Program
		\$.250 for Signal Synchronization	Caltrans to develop program for allocation
Local Streets and Road Improvement, Congestion Relief and Traffic Safety Account of 2006	\$2,000	\$1,000 to Counties	Funds allocated 75% based on vehicles and 25% based on maintained county roads
		\$1,000 to Cities	Funds allocated based on proportionate population

Transportation Committee Agenda

August 2, 2006

SB 1266 - Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Fund of 2006		Funding Allocation Process	
SB 1266 - \$19.9 B		CTC to develop and adopt guidelines, by December 1, 2006, and allocate funds after reviewing project nominations (due no later than January 15, 2007) submitted by the Caltrans and regional or local transportation agencies for performance improvements on highly congested travel corridors. CTC to adopt an initial program to be funded by March 1, 2007. Available to Caltrans for safety, operational enhancements, rehabilitation, or capacity improvements along approximately 400 miles of the State Route 99 corridor.	
a	\$4,500	Corridor Mobility Improvement Account	CTC to allocate for improvements along trade corridors of national significance. CTC to consult the Trade Infrastructure and Goods Movement Plan (due to be submitted by BTHA and EPA to the CTC for consideration no later than January 1, 2007), trade infrastructure and goods movement plans adopted by RTPAs, regional transportation plans, and Cal-MITSAC Statewide Port Master Plan
b	\$1,000	State Route 99 Corridor	Available to the Air Resources Board for emission reductions from activities related to the movement of freight along trade corridors.
c	\$3,100	Ports Infrastructure, Security & Air Quality Trade Corridors Improvement Fund	Available to the Office of Emergency Services to be allocated as grants for port, harbor, and ferry terminal security improvements.
d	\$200	School Bus Retrofit for Air Quality	Available for school bus retrofit and replacement to reduce air pollution and exposure to diesel exhaust.
e	\$2,000	STIP Augmentation	CTC to allocate per STIP Process (formula distribution).
f	\$4,000	Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement	For rehabilitation, safety or modernization, capital service enhancement or expansion, new capital projects, bus rapid transit improvements, or for rolling stock procurement, rehabilitation, or replacement.
g	\$1,000	Department Intercity Rail Improvement Distributed by Controller	Available to Caltrans for intercity rail projects, of which \$125 million shall be used for the procurement of intercity rail cars and locomotives.
h	\$1,000	State-Local Partnership Program Account	Controller to allocate according to PUC formula distributions: 50% allocated using formula in PUC Section 99314 and 50% using formula in PUC Section 99313.
i	\$125	Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit	CTC to allocate over 5 years. Dollar for dollar match with local funds to eligible projects nominated by applicant transportation agencies.
j	\$250	Highway-Railroad Crossing Safety Account	Allocation process to be determined by legislative statutes for capital projects that provide increased protection against a security and safety threat, and to develop a disaster response transportation system that can move people, goods, emergency personnel and equipment in the aftermath of a disaster.
k	\$750	SHOPP	To provide 11.5% required match for federal Highway Bridge Replacement and Repair funds available for seismic retrofit of 497 remaining local bridges.
l	\$2,000	Traffic Light Synchronization	Available to Caltrans for completion of high-priority grade separations & railroad crossings safety improvements (of which \$100 million for high priority RR crossings: PUC in consultation with Caltrans proposes - CTC Allocates). Dollar for dollar match with non-State funds is required.
		Local Street and Roads, Congestion Relief, and Traffic Safety Account of 2006	Caltrans Proposes - CTC Allocates per existing SHOPP process.
		Counties	Caltrans to develop program to fund traffic light synchronization or other technology based.
		Cities	Appropriated to the Controller for administration and allocation as follows:
			Controller allocates by formula distribution as specified in bond legislation: 75% apportioned to counties based on the number of vehicles registered in the county relative to all counties in the State, and 25% based on number of county maintained road miles relative to all county maintained road miles in the State.
			Controller apportionments among cities based on total population of the city in relation to all cities in the State (except minimum \$400 K to each city).

Transportation Committee Agenda

August 2, 2006

The Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006

(\$ in billions) *This table includes only the categories that are allocated by formula.

Category	Amount	Sub-Amount	Formula Program Component LA County Estimate
State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)	\$2.000		
Regional Improvement Program		\$1.500	\$0.340
Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement	\$4.000		
Intercity rail improvements		\$0.400	
STA Formula Funds (PUC Sec. 99313)		\$1.800	\$0.500
STA Formula Funds (PUC Sec. 99314)		\$1.800	\$0.540
Local Street and Road Improvement, Congestion Relief, and Safety	\$2.000		
Allocated among Counties		\$1.000	\$0.200
Allocated among Cities		\$1.000	\$0.300
Total			\$1.880

Transportation Committee Agenda

August 2, 2006

Proposition 1B -- State Infrastructure Bond for Transportation
 \$2 Billion for Local Streets and Roads (\$1 B Counties, \$1 B Cities)
 Estimate for LA County and Cities in LA County

Jurisdiction	Estimated Share	Estimated Allocation
Los Angeles County	19.4304%	\$ 194,303,756
LA County Cities (detail below)	29.9649%	\$ 299,648,667
Total LA County and Cities		\$ 493,952,423
Agoura Hills	0.0764%	\$ 764,233
Alhambra	0.2967%	\$ 2,966,554
Arcadia	0.1845%	\$ 1,844,904
Artesia	0.0569%	\$ 568,767
Avalon	0.0115%	\$ 114,913
Azusa	0.1589%	\$ 1,589,395
Baldwin Park	0.2661%	\$ 2,660,763
Bell	0.1276%	\$ 1,276,266
Bell Gardens	0.1517%	\$ 1,517,001
Bellflower	0.2539%	\$ 2,539,134
Beverly Hills	0.1178%	\$ 1,178,255
Bradbury	0.0031%	\$ 31,152
Burbank	0.3498%	\$ 3,497,794
Calabasas	0.0760%	\$ 759,881
Carson	0.3221%	\$ 3,221,015
Cerritos	0.1804%	\$ 1,804,088
Claremont	0.1200%	\$ 1,200,105
Commerce	0.0442%	\$ 442,358
Compton	0.3238%	\$ 3,237,852
Covina	0.1624%	\$ 1,623,627
Cudahy	0.0847%	\$ 846,651
Culver City	0.1339%	\$ 1,338,800
Diamond Bar	0.1964%	\$ 1,963,912
Downey	0.3721%	\$ 3,721,484
Duarte	0.0750%	\$ 749,512
El Monte	0.4122%	\$ 4,121,945
El Segundo	0.0558%	\$ 557,664
Gardena	0.2005%	\$ 2,004,625
Glendale	0.6781%	\$ 6,781,037
Glendora	0.1716%	\$ 1,715,610
Hawaiian Gardens	0.0520%	\$ 519,927
Hawthorne	0.2909%	\$ 2,908,541
Hermosa Beach	0.0642%	\$ 642,310
Hidden Hills	0.0067%	\$ 66,760
Huntington Park	0.2127%	\$ 2,126,913
Industry	0.0026%	\$ 26,337
Inglewood	0.3871%	\$ 3,870,760
Irwindale	0.0050%	\$ 49,703
La Canada Flintridge	0.0708%	\$ 707,825
La Habra Heights	0.0203%	\$ 202,867
La Mirada	0.1654%	\$ 1,653,502
La Puente	0.1420%	\$ 1,420,366

Transportation Committee Agenda
August 2, 2006

Proposition 1B -- State Infrastructure Bond for Transportation
\$2 Billion for Local Streets and Roads (\$1 B Counties, \$1 B Cities)
Estimate for LA County and Cities in LA County

Jurisdiction	Estimated Share	Estimated Allocation
La Verne	0.1097%	\$ 1,096,722
Lakewood	0.2741%	\$ 2,740,953
Lancaster	0.4423%	\$ 4,422,918
Lawndale	0.1096%	\$ 1,096,001
Lomita	0.0693%	\$ 692,920
Long Beach	1.6102%	\$ 16,102,421
Los Angeles	12.9818%	\$ 129,817,597
Lynwood	0.2398%	\$ 2,398,244
Malibu	0.0449%	\$ 448,909
Manhattan Beach	0.1207%	\$ 1,206,885
Maywood	0.0969%	\$ 969,492
Monrovia	0.1282%	\$ 1,282,359
Montebello	0.2151%	\$ 2,151,252
Monterey Park	0.2117%	\$ 2,116,595
Norwalk	0.3609%	\$ 3,609,159
Palmdale	0.4518%	\$ 4,518,425
Palos Verdes Estates	0.0465%	\$ 465,419
Paramount	0.1904%	\$ 1,903,507
Pasadena	0.4788%	\$ 4,788,037
Pico Rivera	0.2204%	\$ 2,204,188
Pomona	0.5277%	\$ 5,277,423
Rancho Palos Verdes	0.1426%	\$ 1,425,771
Redondo Beach	0.2205%	\$ 2,205,401
Rolling Hills Estates	0.0268%	\$ 268,317
Rosemead	0.1873%	\$ 1,873,370
San Dimas	0.1212%	\$ 1,212,192
San Fernando	0.0818%	\$ 818,271
San Gabriel	0.1388%	\$ 1,388,067
San Marino	0.0448%	\$ 447,894
Santa Clarita	0.5502%	\$ 5,501,758
Santa Fe Springs	0.0590%	\$ 589,537
Santa Monica	0.2997%	\$ 2,997,150
Sierra Madre	0.0365%	\$ 365,115
Signal Hill	0.0360%	\$ 359,997
South El Monte	0.0734%	\$ 734,424
South Gate	0.3347%	\$ 3,346,673
South Pasadena	0.0845%	\$ 844,784
Temple City	0.1168%	\$ 1,167,740
Torrance	0.4829%	\$ 4,828,623
Vernon	0.0003%	\$ 3,145
Walnut	0.1047%	\$ 1,047,247
West Covina	0.3683%	\$ 3,682,889
West Hollywood	0.1246%	\$ 1,245,965
Westlake Village	0.0292%	\$ 291,706
Whittier	0.2858%	\$ 2,858,094
Total LA County Cities	29.9649%	299,648,667

IV. Reports

2. MTA Planning Studies

Transportation Committee Agenda

August 2, 2006

TO: Transportation Committee

FROM: Bonnie Lowenthal, Transportation Committee Chair

BY: Karen Heit, Transportation Deputy

SUBJECT: MTA Planning Studies

Issue

At the Special Board Meeting on June 29th, the MTA Board approved the following Planning studies and positions:

- A. addition of one FTE and \$100,000 for Countywide Planning to initiate the major investment study for the extension of the Metro Red Line to the west side of Los Angeles;
- B. addition of one FTE and \$100,000 for Countywide Planning to initiate the major investment study for the light rail regional connector in downtown Los Angeles;
- C. addition of one FTE and \$100,000 for Countywide Planning to initiate a major investment study for the Harbor subdivision right-of-way from downtown Los Angeles to Los Angeles International Airport and Wilmington;
- D. addition of one FTE and \$150,000 for Countywide Planning to study environmental issues and conduct further design on the I-710 North extension;
- E. addition of one FTE for Countywide Planning to provide additional technical support on the Goods Movement Action Plan;
- F. addition of one FTE for Countywide Planning to increase monitoring and administrative responsibilities associated with SAFETEA-LU;

The following programs and projects do not require FTE additions:

- G. addition of \$250,000 for Countywide Planning to provide a funding contribution to the Gateway Cities COG, Caltrans, and SCAG to initiate a major corridor study of the SR-91/I-605 corridor;
- H. addition of \$80,000 for Countywide Planning to provide a funding contribution to the South Bay COG to continue Phase III of the

Transportation Committee Agenda

August 2, 2006

- I. research on Mixed-Use Centers and Transit Corridors Demand Study;
- J. addition of \$125,000 for Countywide Planning to conduct a joint study with OCTA to develop, evaluate and recommend transportation improvements focused on issues at the LA/OC border;
- K. addition of \$150,000 for Countywide Planning to provide a funding contribution to the City of Los Angeles to prepare a study on the I-10 South Master Plan;
- L. addition of \$120,000 for Countywide Planning to prepare twelve Bicycle Transit Bike Access hub plans; and
- M. addition of \$500,000 for Procurement to conduct a disparity study to determine the presence of discrimination, or its effects, as required by the Department of Transportation.

MOLINA / ANTONOVICH / FASANA/NAJARIAN MOTION

- M. addition of \$150,000 for Countywide Planning to support ridership modeling on the Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension, for which MTA is required by the Public Utilities Code to assume responsibility for operation upon completion of the line
- N. addition of \$150,000 for Countywide Planning to implement a regional connector through Glendale and Burbank that links the Red Line and/or Orange Line in North Hollywood with the Gold Line in Pasadena.
- O. addition of \$250,000 for Countywide Planning to initiate a feasibility study of the use of DMU technology (a self-propelled rail car for commuter rail or intercity rail service) on existing MTA-owned rail.
- P. addition of up to \$50,000 for Countywide Planning / Caltrans to modify the project study report (PSR) on the I-10/1-605 interchange to determine if possible integration of the project into the existing I-10 carpool lane project, currently funded by Metro, would generate cost savings.

Transportation Committee Agenda

August 2, 2006

- Q. addition of two full-time equivalent (FTE's) regular positions and \$4.7 million (grant funded) for Communications to implement a grant-funded countywide public vanpool program; and for staff to report back in 2 months with quantifiable goals for increasing vanpool ridership.
- R. Addition of \$200,000 for a study of the Eastside extension to the southern San Gabriel Valley.

YAROSLAVSKY MOTION

- S. Addition of one FTE and \$1,116,161 for Countywide Planning to accelerate completion of bus lanes and other project components for the Wilshire Bus Rapid Transit project from San Vicente to Fairfax.

BURKE, KNABE AND O'CONNOR MOTION

- T. Addition of \$250,000 to partner with the South Bay Cities Council of Governments to develop a strategy for Goods Movement into, out of and through South Bay.

KNABE/LOWENTHAL MOTION

- U. \$150,000 - Feasibility/planning study (PSR Equivalent) for truck inspection facility; potential sites – Commerce, South Gate, and Compton.

\$50,000 – ITS Integration Plan, matching funds for a federal grant

\$25,000 - Regional system integration planning; how the line would function within the county-wide transportation system. West Santa Ana Branch Mainline Mag.-Lev.